

# *Sublinear Algorithms*

## *Lecture 3*

---

Sofya Raskhodnikova  
*Penn State University*

*Thanks to Madhav Jha (Penn State) for help with creating these slides.*

# Tentative Plan

---

Lecture 1. Background. Testing properties of images and lists.

Lecture 2. Testing properties of lists. Sublinear-time approximation for graph problems.

Lecture 3. Testing properties of functions. Linearity testing.

Lecture 4. Techniques for proving hardness. Other models for sublinear computation.

# Testing Linearity

---

# Linear Functions Over Finite Field $\mathbb{F}_2$

A Boolean function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is *linear* if

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n \text{ for some } a_1, \dots, a_n \in \{0,1\}$$

no free term

- Work in finite field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ 
  - Other accepted notation for  $\mathbb{F}_2$ :  $GF_2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$
  - Addition and multiplication is mod 2
  - $\mathbf{x}=(x_1, \dots, x_n), \mathbf{y}=(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , that is,  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{0,1\}^n$   
 $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}=(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)$

example

$$\begin{array}{r} + \\ 001001 \\ 011001 \\ \hline 010000 \end{array}$$

# *Testing if a Boolean function is Linear*

---

Input: Boolean function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

Question:

Is the function **linear** or  **$\varepsilon$ -far from linear**  
( $\geq \varepsilon 2^n$  values need to be changed to make it linear)?

Today: can answer in  $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$  time

# *Motivation*

---

- Linearity test is one of the most celebrated testing algorithms
  - A special case of many important property tests
  - Computations over finite fields are used in
    - Cryptography
    - Coding Theory
  - Originally designed for program checkers and self-correctors
  - Low-degree testing is needed in constructions of Probabilistically Checkable Proofs (PCPs)
    - Used for proving inapproximability
- Main tool in the correctness proof: Fourier analysis of Boolean functions
  - Powerful and widely used technique in understanding the structure of Boolean functions

# Equivalent Definitions of Linear Functions

Definition.  $f$  is *linear* if  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_2$

$\Leftrightarrow$

$[n]$  is a shorthand for  $\{1, \dots, n\}$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i \in S} x_i \text{ for some } S \subseteq [n].$$

Definition'.  $f$  is *linear* if  $f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y})$  for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{0,1\}^n$ .

- Definition  $\Rightarrow$  Definition'

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i \in S} (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})_i = \sum_{i \in S} x_i + \sum_{i \in S} y_i = f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y}).$$

- Definition'  $\Rightarrow$  Definition

Let  $\alpha_i = f(\overbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}^{e_i})$

Repeatedly apply Definition':

$$f((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = f(\sum x_i e_i) = \sum x_i f(e_i) = \sum \alpha_i x_i.$$

# Linearity Test [Blum Luby Rubinfeld 90]

---

## BLR Test ( $f, \epsilon$ )

1. Pick  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  independently and uniformly at random from  $\{0,1\}^n$ .
2. Set  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$  and query  $f$  on  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}$ , and  $\mathbf{z}$ . **Accept** iff  $f(\mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y})$ .

## Analysis

If  $f$  is linear, BLR always accepts.

## Correctness Theorem [Bellare Coppersmith Hastad Kiwi Sudan 95]

If  $f$  is  $\epsilon$ -far from linear then  $> \epsilon$  fraction of pairs  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  fail BLR test.

- Then, by [Witness Lemma \(Lecture 1\)](#),  $2/\epsilon$  iterations suffice.



# Analysis Technique: Fourier Expansion

---

# *Representing Functions as Vectors*

---

Stack the  $2^n$  values of  $f(\mathbf{x})$  and treat it as a vector in  $\{0,1\}^{2^n}$ .

$$f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} f(0000) \\ f(0001) \\ f(0010) \\ f(0011) \\ f(0100) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ f(1101) \\ f(1110) \\ f(1111) \end{bmatrix}$$

# Linear functions

There are  $2^n$  linear functions: one for each subset  $S \subseteq [n]$ .

$$\chi_{\emptyset} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \chi_{\{1\}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dots \dots, \quad \chi_{[n]} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Parity on the positions indexed by set  $S$  is  $\chi_S(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i \in S} x_i$

# Great Notational Switch

---

**Idea:** Change notation, so that we work over reals instead of a finite field.

- Vectors in  $\{0,1\}^{2^n}$   $\rightarrow$  Vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ .
- 0/False  $\rightarrow$  1                      1/True  $\rightarrow$  -1.
- Addition (mod 2)  $\rightarrow$  Multiplication in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- Boolean function:  $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ .
- Linear function  $\chi_S : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is given by  $\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$ .

# Benefit 1 of New Notation

- The dot product of  $f$  and  $g$  as vectors in  $\{-1,1\}^{2^n}$ :  
$$\begin{aligned} & (\# \mathbf{x}'\text{s such that } f(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x})) - (\# \mathbf{x}'\text{s such that } f(\mathbf{x}) \neq g(\mathbf{x})) \\ & = 2^n - 2 \cdot \underbrace{(\# \mathbf{x}'\text{s such that } f(\mathbf{x}) \neq g(\mathbf{x}))}_{\text{disagreements between } f \text{ and } g} \end{aligned}$$

Inner product of functions  $f, g : \{-1, 1\} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle &= \frac{1}{2^n} (\text{dot product of } f \text{ and } g \text{ as vectors}) \\ &= \text{avg}_{\mathbf{x} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = 1 - 2 \cdot (\text{fraction of } \textit{disagreements} \text{ between } f \text{ and } g)$$

## Benefit 2 of New Notation

Claim. The functions  $(\chi_S)_{S \subseteq [n]}$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ .

- If  $S \neq T$  then  $\chi_S$  and  $\chi_T$  are orthogonal:  $\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle = 0$ .
  - Let  $i$  be an element on which  $S$  and  $T$  differ (w.l.o.g.  $i \in S \setminus T$ )
  - Pair up all  $n$ -bit strings:  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)})$  where  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$  is  $\mathbf{x}$  with the  $i^{\text{th}}$  bit flipped.
  - Each such pair contributes  $ab - ab = 0$  to  $\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle$ .
  - Since all  $\mathbf{x}$ 's are paired up,  $\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle = 0$ .
- Recall that there are  $2^n$  linear functions  $\chi_S$ .
- $\langle \chi_S, \chi_S \rangle = 1$ 
  - In fact,  $\langle f, f \rangle = 1$  for all  $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ .
  - (The **norm** of  $f$ , denoted  $|f|$ , is  $\sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$ )

	+1	-1
	-1	+1
	+1	+1
$\mathbf{x}$	+a	b
	+1	+1
	⋮	⋮
	⋮	⋮
	⋮	⋮
$\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$	-a	b
	+1	-1
	-1	+1
	-1	+1
	$\chi_S$	$\chi_T$

# Fourier Expansion Theorem

**Idea:** Work in the basis  $(\chi_S)_{S \subseteq [n]}$ , so it is easy to see how close a specific function  $f$  is to each of the linear functions.

## Fourier Expansion Theorem

Every function  $f : \{-1, 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniquely expressible as a linear combination (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) of the  $2^n$  linear functions:

$$f = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S,$$

where  $\hat{f}(S) = \langle f, \chi_S \rangle$  is the **Fourier Coefficient** of  $f$  on set  $S$ .

**Proof:**  $f$  can be written uniquely as a linear combination of basis vectors:

$$f = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} c_S \cdot \chi_S$$

It remains to prove that  $c_S = \hat{f}(S)$  for all  $S$ .

$$\hat{f}(S) = \langle f, \chi_S \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} c_T \cdot \chi_T, \chi_S \right\rangle = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} c_T \cdot \langle \chi_T, \chi_S \rangle = c_S$$

Definition of Fourier coefficients

Linearity of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

$$\langle \chi_T, \chi_S \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T = S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Examples: Fourier Expansion

$f$	Fourier transform
$f(\mathbf{x}) = 1$	1
$f(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$	$x_i$
AND( $x_1, x_2$ )	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2$
MAJORITY( $x_1, x_2, x_3$ )	$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2x_3$



# Parseval Equality

## Parseval Equality

Let  $f: \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2$$

Proof:

By Fourier Expansion Theorem

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S, \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(T) \chi_T \right\rangle$$

By linearity of inner product

$$= \sum_S \sum_T \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle$$

By orthonormality of  $\chi_S$ 's

$$= \sum_S \hat{f}(S)^2$$

# Parseval Equality

## Parseval Equality for Boolean Functions

Let  $f: \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ . Then

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 = 1$$

Proof:

By definition of inner product

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})^2]$$

Since  $f$  is Boolean

$$= 1$$

# BLR Test in $\{-1,1\}$ notation

BLR Test ( $f, \epsilon$ )

1. Pick  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  independently and uniformly at random from  $\{-1,1\}^n$ .
2. Set  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}$  and query  $f$  on  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}$ , and  $\mathbf{z}$ . **Accept** iff  $f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{z}) = 1$ .

Vector product notation:  $\mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y} = (x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \dots, x_ny_n)$

Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma.  $\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^3$

*Proof:* Indicator variable  $\mathbb{1}_{BLR} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if BLR accepts} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{BLR} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{z})$ .

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [\mathbb{1}_{BLR}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1,1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{z})]$$

By linearity of expectation

# Proof of Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma


So far:  $\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{z})]$

Next:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{z})] && \text{By Fourier Expansion Theorem} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} \left[ \left( \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left( \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(T) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \right) \left( \sum_{U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(U) \chi_U(\mathbf{z}) \right) \right] \\ & && \text{Distributing out the product of sums} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} \left[ \left( \sum_{S, T, U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z}) \right) \right] \\ & && \text{By linearity of expectation} \\ &= \sum_{S, T, U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z})] \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma (Continued)

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S, T, U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z})]$$

Claim.  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z})]$  is 1 if  $S = T = U$  and 0 otherwise. 

- Let  $S \Delta T$  denote symmetric difference of sets  $S$  and  $T$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z})] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\prod_{i \in S} x_i \prod_{i \in T} y_i \prod_{i \in U} z_i]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\prod_{i \in S} x_i \prod_{i \in T} y_i \prod_{i \in U} x_i y_i]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\prod_{i \in S \Delta U} x_i \prod_{i \in T \Delta U} y_i]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\prod_{i \in S \Delta U} x_i] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\prod_{i \in T \Delta U} y_i]$$

$$= \prod_{i \in S \Delta U} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{-1, 1\}} [x_i] \cdot \prod_{i \in T \Delta U} \mathbb{E}_{y \in \{-1, 1\}} [y_i]$$

$$= \prod_{i \in S \Delta U} \mathbb{E}_{x_i \in \{-1, 1\}} [x_i] \cdot \prod_{i \in T \Delta U} \mathbb{E}_{y_i \in \{-1, 1\}} [y_i]$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } S \Delta U = \emptyset \text{ and } T \Delta U = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} \circ \mathbf{y}$

Since  $x_i^2 = y_i^2 = 1$

Since  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are independent

Since  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ 's coordinates are independent

## *Proof of Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma (Done)*

---

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S, T, U \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \chi_T(\mathbf{y}) \chi_U(\mathbf{z})] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^3\end{aligned}$$

Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma.  $\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^3$  ✓

# Proof of Correctness Theorem

Correctness Theorem (restated)

If  $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ -far from linear then  $\Pr[\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}] \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ .

*Proof:* Suppose to the contrary that

$$1 - \varepsilon < \Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} [\text{BLR}(f) \text{ accepts}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^3$$

By Sum-Of-Cubes Lemma

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \max_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \right) \cdot \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2$$

Since  $\hat{f}(S)^2 \geq 0$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \max_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \right)$$

Parseval Equality

- Then  $\max_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) > 1 - 2\varepsilon$ . That is,  $\hat{f}(T) > 1 - 2\varepsilon$  for some  $T \subseteq [n]$ .
- But  $\hat{f}(T) = \langle f, \chi_T \rangle = 1 - 2 \cdot (\text{fraction of } \textit{disagreements} \text{ between } f \text{ and } \chi_T)$
- $f$  disagrees with a linear function  $\chi_T$  on  $< \varepsilon$  fraction of values. ❌

# Summary

---

BLR tests whether a function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is  
**linear** or  **$\varepsilon$ -far from linear**  
( $\geq \varepsilon 2^n$  values need to be changed to make it linear)  
in  $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$  time.