Homework 10 – Due Thursday, April 14, 2016 before the lecture

Please refer to the general information handout for the full homework policy and options. This homework contains 3 mandatory and 1 optional problem, worth 10 points each. Your solution to each problem should be handed in on a separate sheet of paper.

Reminder Collaboration is permitted, but you must write the solutions by yourself without assistance, and be ready to explain them orally to the instructor if asked. You must also identify your collaborators. Getting solutions from outside sources such as the Web or students not enrolled in the class is strictly forbidden.

Problems

1. (NP) In parts (a) and (b), you are asked to prove that the given languages are in NP. For one of them (of your choice), give a verifier; for the other, a nondeterministic TM.

   (a) You would like to schedule final exams to ensure that there are no conflicts. You are given $k$ class lists, where each class list is a set of ID numbers of students taking the class. You would like to determine if $t$ time slots are sufficient for a conflict-free schedule; that is, whether there exists a partition of $k$ classes into $t$ sets, such that classes in each set have disjoint class lists. For example, if student IDs are integers 1 to 4, and you are given three class lists $L_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $L_2 = \{1, 4\}$, and $L_3 = \{2, 3\}$, then 2 time slots are sufficient: classes 2 and 3 can be scheduled together, while class 1 is allotted the second time slot.

   We formalize this problem as a language as follows: $SCHEDULE = \{\langle L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_k, t \rangle |$ $L_1, \ldots, L_k$ are class lists and $t$ time slots are sufficient for a conflict-free schedule\}.

   Show that $SCHEDULE \in$ NP.

   (b) Recall the language POST (about a puzzle with dominos) from the lecture on the computational history method. (This problem is called PCP in Sipser, Chapter 5.2). Let $k$POST $= \{(S, k)| S$ is a finite set of dominoes over $\Sigma; k$ is an integer written in unary, and there is a sequence of at most $k$ dominoes (allowing repeats) for which the top and bottom sequences are equal\}.

   Prove that $k$POST is in NP.

   (c) If $k$ was written in binary, would your solution to part (b) still work? Why or why not?

2. (Search vs decision) You would like to ensure that a system you are building has the set $U$ of $n$ capabilities. You have $m$ available software packages. The $i$th software package provides the set $S_i \subseteq U$ of capabilities. You want to achieve all $n$ capabilities using the smallest number of packages.

   In this problem, you will prove that if P=NP, you can find the smallest set of software packages in polynomial time.

   (a) Consider the following language:

   $SOFTWARE = \{\langle n, U, S_1, \ldots, S_m, k \rangle |$ there is a collection of $k$ software packages such that the union of their sets of capabilities is equal to $U\}$. Prove that $SOFTWARE \in$ NP.
(b) If P=NP, the proof you just gave for part (a) implies that SOFTWARE ∈ P, that is, in polynomial time you can decide whether k packages are enough. Assume you have a subroutine that does it, and use it repeatedly to find the smallest set of software packages that achieve all n capabilities in polynomial time.

*Hint:* Similar to problem 7.40, solved in the book.

3. **(Systems of linear inequalities)** A *linear inequality* over variables $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ is an inequality of the form $c_1x_1 + \ldots + c_kx_k \leq b$, where $c_1, \ldots, c_k$ and $b$ are integers. E.g., $5x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 \leq -1$ is a linear inequality. A *system of linear inequalities* is a set of inequalities over the same variables. Such a system has an integer solution if one can assign integer values to all variables in such a way that all inequalities are satisfied.

Formulate as a language LE the problem of deciding whether a given system of linear inequalities has an integer solution.

(a) Prove that LE is in NP.
(b) Give a polynomial time reduction from 3SAT to LE.

*Cautious:* make sure you are doing it in the direction specified.

4*. **(Optional, no collaboration)**

A *2cnf-formula* is an AND of clauses, where each clause is an OR of at most two literals. Let $2SAT = \{\langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi$ is a satisfiable 2cnf-formula}. Show that $2SAT \in P$.

*Hint:* The clause $(x \lor y)$ is logically equivalent to each of the expressions $(\bar{x} \rightarrow y)$ and $(\bar{y} \rightarrow x)$. Use a graph to represent the formula.